4 bullets on why an Article V convention is the Worst Idea since Sin.

I

Convention supporters say a convention is safe b/c “¾ of the States have to ratify whatever comes out”.

That is not true.

Delegates to an Article V convention are inherently vested with that sovereign right of a People to alter or abolish their form of government [our Constitution]. See Declaration of Independence, 2nd para.

We’ve already abolished one Constitution and replaced it with another:

- After July 4, 1776, we operated under our 1st Constitution – the Articles of Confederation. That was our Constitution until our present one was ratified in 1788. It is our 2nd Constitution.

- How did we get from our 1st Constitution to our 2nd Constitution?

- There was a convention to propose amendments to our 1st Constitution!

- Pursuant to Article XIII of The Articles of Confederation, the Continental Congress resolved on February 21, 1787 to call a convention to be held at Philadelphia:

  “for the sole and express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation”.

- But the Delegates ignored this limitation – and they ignored the instructions from their States - and wrote our 2nd Constitution. Because of the inherent authority of Delegates, it is impossible to stop it from happening at another convention.

If we have a convention now, George Washington, James Madison, Benjamin Franklin, and Alexander Hamilton won’t be there to protect you.

You don’t know who the delegates will be – and you won’t find out until it’s too late. But they will have the power to impose a 3rd Constitution.

NOTE WELL: A 3rd Constitution will have its own new mode of ratification - whatever mode will ensure approval.

Our 1st Constitution required the Continental Congress & all of the then 13 States to ratify Amendments to the Articles of Confederation (Article XIII, pages 8-9)

But our 2nd Constitution, drafted at the amendments convention of 1787, provided at Art VII that it would require only 9 States for ratification:

- 13 States and the Continental Congress needed to ratify amendments to our 1st Constitution; but only
- 9 States needed to ratify our 2nd Constitution.

If we have a convention today, there is nothing to stop Delegates from proposing a 3rd Constitution with its own new mode of ratification.
II.

New Constitutions are already prepared or are being prepared *in preparation for a convention*. Here are three:

- The **Constitution for the Newstates of America** is ratified by a **referendum** called by the President [Art 12, § 1]. *The States are dissolved* and replaced by regional gov’ts answerable to the new national gov’t.

- The **Revolutionary Communist Party, USA** has a Constitution for “**The New Socialist Republic in North America**”.

- The **Constitution 2020 movement is funded by George Soros** and supported by Eric Holder & Marxist law professors all over the Country. They want a Marxist Constitution in place by the year 2020. But they need a convention to get it!

Statists of every variety have **the same goal**: *A convention* so they can get rid of *this* Constitution and impose a 3rd constitution.

If there is a convention, the only issues will be **whose** Constitution will be proposed by the Delegates; and what will the new mode of ratification be? Will it be:

- The Ford Foundation’s Newstates Constitution?

- The Communist Party’s Constitution?

- George Soros’ Marxist Constitution?

- The “re-written” Constitution the leaders of the Convention of States Project have in mind? or

- A constitution transforming us from the US of A to a Member State in the North American Community with Canada & Mexico? The countries merge and a Parliament will be set up over the 3 Countries. The Task Force Report (2005) of the Council for Foreign Relations is **HERE and lays it all out**. The **United States will need a new Constitution wherein we surrender our sovereignty to the North American Union**. This is what the Establishment Elite want and they need a new Constitution to make it happen.
Brilliant men have warned that delegates to a convention can’t be controlled.

James Madison, Father of our Constitution, said in his Nov. 2, 1788 letter to Turberville that he “trembled” at the prospect of a second convention; and that if there were an Art. V Convention:

“the most violent partizans”, and “individuals of insidious views” would strive to be delegates and would have “a dangerous opportunity of sapping the very foundations of the fabric” of our Country.

In Federalist No. 49, Madison warns against a convention to correct breaches of the Constitution. He said, the legislators who caused the problem would get themselves seats at the convention and would be in a position to control the outcome of a convention.

In Federalist No. 85 (last para), Alexander Hamilton said he dreads the consequences of another convention because the enemies of the Constitution want to get rid of it.

Former US Supreme Court Justice Arthur Goldberg reminds us in his Sep. 14, 1986 editorial in The Miami Herald that at the convention of 1787, the delegates ignored their instructions from the Continental Congress and instead of proposing amendments to the Articles of Confederation, wrote a new Constitution; and that

“…any attempt at limiting the agenda would almost certainly be unenforceable.”

Former US Supreme Court Chief Justice Warren Burger said in his June 1988 letter to Phyllis Schlafly:

“…there is no effective way to limit or muzzle the actions of a Constitutional Convention…”

“After a Convention is convened, it will be too late to stop the Convention if we don’t like its agenda…”

“…A new Convention could plunge our Nation into constitutional confusion and confrontation at every turn…”

US Supreme Court Justice Scalia said on April 17, 2014 at the beginning of this video

"I certainly would not want a Constitutional Convention. I mean whoa. Who knows what would come out of that?"

Yet convention supporters ridicule these warnings as “fear mongering”.

Any person who does NOT seriously consider these warnings from these 5 men is a fool. One must ask, “Is it possible that James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, Justice Goldberg, Justice Burger and Justice Scalia understood something about the plenipotentiary powers of delegates to an Article V convention which I haven’t yet grasped?
"Unfaithful delegate" laws are totally useless. This is why:

1. **Delegates to an Article V convention are the Sovereign Representatives of the People.** As such, they have **sovereign immunity for whatever they decide to do.** They cannot be criminally prosecuted for what they do at an Art. V convention.

2. **Delegates to an Art. V convention are vested with plenipotentiary powers!** So it is impossible for States to control Delegates. For a clear discussion of these plenipotentiary powers and historical precedent, please read [this article](#).

3. **Even if Delegates didn’t have sovereign immunity and plenipotentiary powers, it is child's play to get around "faithful delegate" laws.** This is how:
   - Delegates can vote to make the proceedings secret – that’s what they did on May 29, 1787 at the federal "amendments" convention where our present Constitution was drafted.
   - If the proceedings are secret, the States won’t know what is going on – and can’t stop it.
   - And if Delegates vote by secret ballot – the States would NEVER know who did what.

So, it would be impossible for States to prosecute Delegates who ignore State instructions.

All this is why James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, and Supreme Court Justices Arthur J. Goldberg, Warren Burger, and Antonin Scalia warned AGAINST an Article V convention.

Don't fall for this scam. The idea is to deceive you into believing that an Article V convention is safe and Delegates can be controlled. It isn't and they can't.

For more information, contact Publius Huldah at publiushuldah@gmail.com or at [https://publiushuldah.wordpress.com/](https://publiushuldah.wordpress.com/)